



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/621,435 | 07/17/2003 | Janet Codd | DOVP-1-0901 | 1728 |
| Jeffrey J. King BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM PLLC Suite 4800 701 Fifth Avenue Seattle, WA 98104 | | | | |
| EXAMINER ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM | | | | |
| ART UNIT 1618 | | | | |
| PAPER NUMBER | | | | |
| MAIL DATE 02/03/2009 | | | | |
| DELIVERY MODE PAPER | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/621,435

Applicant(s)

CODD ET AL.

Examiner

JAMES W. ROGERS

Art Unit

1618

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James W Rogers, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Jeffery J. King, 38,515.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All Pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: NA.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner initiated a telephonic interview with Mr. King in regards to the status of application 10/621,435, a response was due on 12/22/2008.. Mr. King was not available so the examiner left a messeage inquiring on the status of the application. As of 2/2/2009 neither Mr. King or his office has attempted to call the examiner back, thus applicants have been unresponsive and the examiner is issuing this letter of abandonment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/James W Rogers/
Examiner, Art Unit 1618